PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

, FM

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

GOSNELL, Guy, R. Alston & Bird LLP Bank of America Plaza Suite 4000 101 South Tryon Street Charlotte, NC 28280-4000

ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Alston & Bird

SEP 0 8 2006

Received By R

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 31 August 2006 (31.08.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 42933/288287

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000333

International filing date (day/month/year) 09 February 2005 (09.02.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year)
20 February 2004 (20.02.2004)

Applicant

NOKIA CORPORATION et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Cecile Chatel

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt13@wipo.int

.TENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 42933/288287	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below				
International application No. PCT/IB2005/000333	International filing date (day/month/year) 09 February 2005 (09.02.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20 February 2004 (20.02.2004)				
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant NOKIA CORPORATION						

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application					
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application					
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).						
			Date of issuance of this report				
			22 August 2006 (22.08.2006) Authorized officer				
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes							
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Cecile Chatel				
acsim	acsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70		e-mail: nt13@wino int				

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 13 JUL 2005 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY **WIPO** Alston & Bird LLP Bank of America Plaza WRITTEN OPINION OF THE 101 South Tryon Street, INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY Suite 4000 Charlotte, NC 28280-4000 (PCT Rule 43bis.1) USA Date of mailing (day/month/year) **9 7 -**07- 2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below 42933/288287 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IB2005/000333 09.02.2005 20.02.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04Q 7/32, G06F 12/14 Applicant Nokia Corporation et al 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE
Patent- och registreringsverket
Box 5055
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

Authorized officer

Roland Landström /OGU

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/000333

Во	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	ti	pard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: the international application in the language in which it was filed translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.	claimed	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forma	t of material on paper in electronic form
	c. time	contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in electronic form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addition	al comments:

International application No.
PCT/IB2005/000333

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement 2,4-6,13,16,18-19,21,23,26 Novelty (N) Claims 1,3,7-12,14-15,17,20,22,24-25,27 NO Claims Inventive step (IS) Claims YES Claims 1 - 27 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims YES Claims NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The invention in independent claims 1, 15 and 24 is intended to prevent unauthorized access to information stored in a memory within a mobile device. To this end, the mobile device receives a remotely originated wireless request and limits/disables access to the memory based on the request.

The invention in independent claim 27 is intended to facilitate limiting device functionality. To this end, the device receives a signal to disable device functionality, limits device functionality and transmits a return signal indicating successful disabling of device functionality.

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 20030065934 A1

D2: EP 0836131 A2

D3: US 5748084 A

D4: US 5734978 A

D5: US 20030013491 A1

Document D1 (paragraphs 0011 - 0046, figures 1 - 2, abstract) discloses a system etc. that limits mobile device (PDA, computer 100, 200) functionality via a wireless network (104). The mobile device (100, 200) receives (214) a remotely (102, 202) originated request to disable the mobile device (100, 200); and limits access to (erases) memory (206) within the mobile device (100, 200) based on the request.

. . . / . . .

International application No.
PCT/IB2005/000333

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: BOX V.

1(2)

Certain other functions may also be disabled, see abstract. The mobile device (100, 200) has a GPS receiver (212) for reporting its location, see paragraph 0024.

Document D2 (column 2, line 21 - column 11, line 29, figures 1 - 15, abstract) discloses a system etc. that limits mobile device (portable computer 16) functionality via a wireless network (cellular telephone link). The mobile device (16) receives a remotely (140) originated request to disable the mobile device (16) and limits access to (erases) memory (152, figures 9A, 9B) within the mobile device (16) based on the request. Certain other functions may also be disabled, see abstract. The mobile device (16) acknowledges that the request has been completed, see column 11, lines 17 - 29.

Document D3 (column 1, line 45 - column 10, line 64, figures 1 - 6, abstract) discloses a mobile device (portable computer 100) that transmits data files, activates a beacon, destroys stored data and activates a sound alarm in response to a request.

Document D4 (column 1, line 65 - column 8, line 37, figures 1 - 4, abstract) discloses a mobile telephone (2) that destroys data stored in the mobile telephone (2) in response to a request in a received telephone call.

Document D5 (paragraphs 0012 - 0054, figures 1 - 4, abstract) discloses a system etc. that limits mobile phone (1) functionality via a wireless network (cellular telephone link). The mobile phone (1) receives a remotely (personal computer 7) originated request to disable (prohibit a key operation for issuing a call) the mobile phone (1), limits access to (prohibits reading) a memory (16) within the mobile phone (1) based on the request and transfers personal data from the memory (16) to the personal computer (7).

The invention claimed in independent claims 1, 15 and 24 is known from each of documents D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5. Therefore, the invention claimed in claims 1, 15 and 24 lacks novelty and inventive step.

The invention claimed in independent claim 27 is known from document D2. Therefore, the invention claimed in claim 27 lacks novelty and inventive step.

.../...

International application No.
PCT/IB2005/000333

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box V.

2(2)

The features of most of the dependent claims are known from documents D1, D2, D3, D4 or D5:

The invention claimed in at least claims 3, 9 - 11, 14, 17, 20 and 22 is known from document D1. Therefore, the invention claimed in claims 3, 9 - 11, 14, 17, 20 and 22 lacks novelty and inventive step.

The invention claimed in at least claims 3, 7 - 9, 14, 20, 22 and 25 is known from document D2. Therefore, the invention claimed in claims 3, 7 - 9, 14, 20, 22 and 25 lacks novelty and inventive step.

The invention claimed in at least claims 3, 10 - 12, 14, 17 and 22 is known from document D3. Therefore, the invention claimed in claims 3, 10 - 12, 14, 17 and 22 lacks novelty and inventive step.

The invention claimed in at least claims 3, 14 and 22 is known from document D4. Therefore, the invention claimed in claims 3, 14 and 22 lacks novelty and inventive step.

The invention claimed in at least claims 9, 12, 20 and 22 is known from document D5. Therefore, the invention claimed in claims 9, 12, 20 and 22 lacks novelty and inventive step.

In claims 4-6, 13, 16, 18-19, 21, 23 and 26, slight constructional variations are suggested that are obvious to a person skilled in the art. Therefore, the invention claimed in claims 4-6, 13, 16, 18-19, 21, 23 and 26 lacks an inventive step.

To sum up, the invention claimed in at least claims 1, 3, 7 - 12, 14 - 15, 17, 20, 22, 24 - 25 and 27 lacks novelty and inventive step. The invention claimed in claims 2, 4 - 6, 13, 16, 18 - 19, 21, 23 and 26 is novel but lacks an inventive step. All the claims fulfil the requirement of industrial applicability.

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/000333

Box No. V	III Certain	observations or	n the international	application
DOA LION	TIE OFFICE	ODDEL LUCIONO OF	n erre resect municipal	, apparence

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawing or on the question whether the claim are fully supported by the description, are made:

In claim 6, the expression "the wireless network protocol" is in the definite form although it has not been mentioned before.